

Evaluation Sheet

Application for promotion from 1st October 2017 to a Readership

FACULTY PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE EVALUATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS DISCLOSABLE UNDER THE FEEDBACK ARRANGEMENTS.

Applicant : _____ Faculty/Department : _____

Terms of Evaluation

Applications should be evaluated in relation to the criteria for each of the senior academic offices for which the applicant is eligible for consideration (Section 5).

Performance descriptors (bandings): The appropriate boxes should be ticked to record the relevant Committee's decisions. Faculty Promotion Committees should provide comment in relation to each criterion for the office for which the candidate has applied taken from the Minutes of their meeting.

Research/Scholarship Criteria

There must be international recognition in the relevant subject with reference to:

- originality
- contribution to the advancement of knowledge
- reputation

Tick box

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Comment</i>
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Evaluation Sheet

FACULTY PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE EVALUATION

Teaching Criterion *

There must be an effective contribution to undergraduate and/or postgraduate teaching.

*This criterion does not apply to those whose duties do not include teaching.

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Comment</i>
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

General Contribution Criterion

There must be an effective contribution to the subject other than in teaching and research. This may include administration and, where appropriate, management of research groups and the creation and management of multi- institutional/national/international research facilities. It may also include contributions, other than teaching and research, to the subject made more widely, for example, widening participation activity and the design and delivery of outreach programmes, also editorial work, and clinical work (if applicable).

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Comment</i>
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Signed: _____ Date: _____
 Chair of Faculty Promotions Committee