**DOCUMENT 7A Part 1 (Professorship)** 

### **Evaluation Sheet**

# Application for promotion from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016 to a Professorship

# FACULTY PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE EVALUATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS DISCLOSABLE UNDER THE FEEDBACK ARRANGEMENTS.

Applicant : \_\_\_\_\_ Faculty/Department : \_\_\_

#### Terms of Evaluation

Applications should be evaluated in relation to the criteria for each of the senior academic offices for which the applicant is eligible for consideration (Section 5).

Performance descriptors (bandings): The appropriate boxes should be ticked to record the relevant Committee's decisions. Faculty Promotion Committees should provide comment in relation to each criterion for the office for which the candidate has applied, taken from the Minutes of the meeting.

## **Research/Scholarship Criterion**

There must be established international leadership in the relevant subject with reference to:

- originality
- contribution to the advancement of knowledge
- reputation

Tick box	Comment
Outstanding evidence	
Strong evidence	
Clear evidence	
Insufficient evidence	
Clearly unsatisfactory	

#### DOCUMENT 7A Part 1 (Professorship)

### **Evaluation Sheet**

### FACULTY PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE EVALUATION

## **Teaching Criterion\***

There must be an effective contribution to undergraduate and/or postgraduate teaching.

\*This criterion does not apply to those whose duties do not include teaching.

-				
Со	m	m	Δ	nt

Outstanding evidence	
Strong evidence	
Clear evidence	
Insufficient evidence	
Clearly unsatisfactory	

# **General Contribution Criterion**

There must be an effective contribution to the subject other than in teaching and research. This may include administration and, where appropriate, management of research groups and the creation and management of multi- institutional/national/international research facilities. It may also include contributions to the subject made more widely, for example, widening participation activity and the design and delivery of outreach programmes; and also editorial work, and clinical work (if applicable).

	Comment
Outstanding evidence	
Strong evidence	
Clear evidence	
Insufficient evidence	
Clearly unsatisfactory	

Signed:		
•	aculty Promotions	Committee

Date:

## **Evaluation Sheet**

This document may be provided to candidates after completion of the relevant annual exercise, as written feedback on their application.

Additionally, the relevant Head of Institution will ensure all unsuccessful candidates are offered feedback in person.