

Evaluation Sheet

Application for promotion from 1st October 2017 to a Professorship

SUB-COMMITTEE EVALUATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS DISCLOSABLE UNDER THE FEEDBACK ARRANGEMENTS.

Applicant : _____ Faculty/Department : _____

Terms of Evaluation

Applications should be evaluated in relation to the criteria for each of the senior academic offices for which the applicant is eligible for consideration (Section 5).

Performance descriptor's (bandings): *The appropriate boxes should be ticked to record the relevant Committee's decisions. Sub-Committees should provide comment in relation to each criterion for the office for which the candidate has applied, taken from the Minutes of the meeting. These comments will form the basis of the feedback recorded in the notification letters to unsuccessful candidates and given orally at the feedback meeting with the Head of Institution, if requested.*

Research/Scholarship Criteria

There must be established international leadership in the relevant subject with reference to:

- originality
- contribution to the advancement of knowledge
- reputation

Tick box

Comment

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Evaluation Sheet

SUB-COMMITTEE EVALUATION

Teaching Criterion*

There must be an effective contribution to undergraduate and/or postgraduate teaching.

*This criterion does not apply to those whose duties do not include teaching.

Comment

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

General Contribution Criterion

There must be an effective contribution to the subject other than in teaching and research. This may include administration and, where appropriate, management of research groups and the creation and management of multi- institutional/national/international research facilities. It may also include contributions to the subject made more widely, for example, widening participation activity and the design and delivery of outreach programmes; also editorial work, and clinical work (if applicable).

Comment

Outstanding evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Strong evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clear evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insufficient evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clearly unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Chair of General Board's Senior Academic Promotions Sub-Committee