## **Evaluation Sheet**

## Application for promotion from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2020 to a Professorship

# SCHOOL COMMITTEE EVALUATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS DISCLOSABLE UNDER THE FEEDBACK ARRANGEMENTS.

Applicant : \_\_\_\_\_ Faculty/Department : \_\_\_\_

### Terms of Evaluation

Applications should be evaluated in relation to all the criteria for the senior academic office for which the applicant is being considered (Section 5).

Performance descriptor's (bandings): The appropriate boxes should be ticked to record the relevant Committee's decisions. School Committees should provide comment in relation to each criterion for the office for which the candidate has applied, taken from the Minutes of the meeting. These comments will form the basis of the feedback recorded in the notification letters to unsuccessful candidates and given orally at the feedback meeting with the Head of Institution, if requested.

# **Research/Scholarship Criteria**

There must be established international leadership in the relevant subject with reference to:

- originality •
- contribution to the advancement of knowledge
- reputation

Tick box	Comment
Outstanding evidence	
Strong evidence	
Clear evidence	
Insufficient evidence	
Clearly unsatisfactory	

#### DOCUMENT 7A Part 2 (Professorship)

### **Evaluation Sheet**

# SCHOOL COMMITTEE EVALUATION

### **Teaching Criterion\***

There must be an effective contribution to undergraduate and/or postgraduate teaching.

\*This criterion does not apply to those whose duties do not include teaching.

Comment

Outstanding evidence		
Strong evidence		
Clear evidence		
Insufficient evidence		
Clearly unsatisfactory		

### **General Contribution Criterion**

There must be an effective contribution to the subject other than in teaching and research. This may include administration and, where appropriate, management of research groups and the creation and management of multi- institutional/national/international research facilities. It may also include contributions to the subject made more widely, for example, widening participation activity and the design and delivery of outreach programmes; also editorial work, and clinical work (if applicable).

	Comment
Outstanding evidence	
Strong evidence	
Clear evidence	
Insufficient evidence	
Clearly unsatisfactory	

Date: